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#### Abstract

The crystal structure of the synthetically prepared five-membered-ring analogue of vitamin-A acid has been determined in order to establish the similarities with vitamin-A acid itself. The crystals of the analogue are monoclinic, space group $P 2_{1} / n$ with cell constants $a=9 \cdot 487, b=8 \cdot 260, c=22 \cdot 472 \AA$, $\beta=95 \cdot 94^{\circ}, Z=4$. The structure has been solved with the help of a computerized version of the symbolic addition method. The final discrepancy index was $6.0 \%$. The experimentally found planar conformation of the cyclopentene ring is probably not real but simulated by disorder. The planar conjugated system is in the all-trans configuration. The chain is curved in its plane. Owing to mutual steric interaction the carboxylic group and the methyl group $\mathrm{C}(19)$ are rotated by approximately $15^{\circ}$ out of the normal conformations.


## Introduction

The five-membered-ring analogue of vitamin-A acid, $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, also referred to as 5-vitacid, was synthesized by Huisman \& Baas (1969). The molecular formula and the numbering of the atoms is given in Fig. 1.

The growth activity of the acetate of the five-mem-bered-ring analogue of vitamin A is $50-60 \%$ compared with the activity of the acetate of vitamin A itself. The structural analysis of 5 -vitacid was carried out in order to compare its molecular geometry with that of vita-min-A acid (Stam \& MacGillavry, 1963).

## Experimental

The crystals of 5 -vitacid are monoclinic. The unit cell was chosen such that the extinctions were $h 0 l$ with
$h+k=$ odd and $0 k 0$ with $k=$ odd (space group $\left.P 2_{1} / n\right)$. The cell constants obtained by a least-squares method from Weissenberg diagrams calibrated with Al-powder lines are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a=9.487(2) \\
& b=8.260(1) \\
& c=22.472(2) \AA \\
& \beta=95.94(2)^{\circ} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The experimental density measured by flotation was $1.06 \mathrm{g.cm}^{-3}$. For $Z=4$ the calculated density is 1.08 g. $\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$.

The intensities were collected by means of a Nonius automatic single-crystal diffractometer up to $\theta=68.5^{\circ}$ with $\theta-2 \theta$ scanning. The background intensity was measured at both sides of the reflexion for half the scanning time. A reflexion was considered significant

Table 1. Final parameters and their e.s.d.'s
The positional parameters $x, y, z$ values are given in fractions multiplied by 104 . The thermal parameters $U_{i j}$ are given in $\AA^{2}$ and multiplied by $10^{4}$.

|  | $x$ | $\sigma(x)$ | $y$ | $\sigma(y)$ | $z$ | $\sigma(z)$ | $U_{11}$ | $U_{22}$ | $U_{33}$ | $2 U_{12}$ | $2 U_{23}$ | $2 U_{31}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C(1) | -0324 | 4 | 6085 | 5 | 2064 | 2 | 535 | 655 | 724 | -006 | 075 | 268 |
| C(2) | -1463 | 5 | 4970 | 6 | 2285 | 3 | 855 | 930 | 1804 | -221 | 215 | 1351 |
| C(3) | -1122 | 5 | 3254 | 6 | 2149 | 2 | 744 | 877 | 1125 | -406 | 294 | 311 |
| C(4) | 0198 | 4 | 3347 | 5 | 1842 | 2 | 544 | 602 | 922 | -095 | 274 | 078 |
| C(5) | 0667 | 4 | 4872 | 4 | 1793 | 2 | 498 | 496 | 791 | -013 | 243 | 153 |
| C(6) | 1946 | 4 | 5271 | 4 | 1529 | 2 | 513 | 507 | 905 | 134 | 098 | 355 |
| C(7) | 2540 | 4 | 6721 | 4 | 1448 | 2 | 542 | 511 | 767 | 045 | 080 | 335 |
| C(8) | 3825 | 4 | 6995 | 4 | 1171 | 2 | 509 | 546 | 694 | 064 | 110 | 269 |
| C(9) | 4281 | 4 | 8530 | 4 | 1099 | 2 | 574 | 545 | 690 | -042 | 043 | 334 |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 5516 | 4 | 9030 | 4 | 0820 | 2 | 534 | 576 | 632 | 028 | 122 | 284 |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 5905 | 4 | 0574 | 4 | 0764 | 2 | 589 | 579 | 693 | 012 | 091 | 391 |
| C(12) | 7123 | 4 | 1162 | 4 | 0483 | 2 | 586 | 559 | 595 | 041 | 095 | 346 |
| C(13) | 7355 | 4 | 2771 | 4 | 0489 | 2 | 685 | 504 | 848 | 067 | 075 | 681 |
| C(14) | 8506 | 4 | 3657 | 4 | 0251 | 2 | 701 | 503 | 718 | 023 | 012 | 512 |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 0818 | 5 | 1818 | 5 | 1632 |  | 792 | 518 | 1592 | 012 | 140 | 000 |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 0409 | 5 | 7028 | 7 | 2589 | 2 | 888 | 1347 | 725 | -159 | -330 | 273 |
| C(17) | -0994 | 5 | 7261 | 6 | 1599 | 2 | 779 | 818 | 899 | 539 | 002 | 176 |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)$ | 4619 | 4 | 5549 | 5 | 0968 | 2 | 615 | 593 | 1399 | 184 | 165 | 808 |
| C(19) | 8023 | 4 | 9961 | 5 | 0196 | 2 | 703 | 549 | 965 | 044 | 010 | 712 |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 8274 | 3 | 5230 | 3 | 0225 | 1 | 795 | 455 | 1121 | -022 | 050 | 773 |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 9593 | 3 | 3047 | 3 | 0101 | 1 | 718 | 552 | 1147 | 078 | 190 | 918 |

if the net count was greater than twice the standard deviation. In the observed part of the reciprocal space 1934 reflexions were significantly above zero. No absorption correction was applied.

## Solution and refinement

The carbon and oxygen atoms were located with the help of automatic computer programs (Schenk, 1969) based on the symbolic addition procedure (Karle \& Karle, 1966). With 4 symbols and 3 origin defining reflexions as generators it was possible to give approxmately 100 reflexions a symbolic sign with a probability of $p>0.99$. A consistency analysis led to a classification of the $2^{4}=16$ solutions in order of reliability. An $E$ map of the most reliable solution, based on 250 signed reflexions, revealed all atoms of the molecule and showed no spurious peaks.

The refinement was carried out by means of a blockdiagonal least-squares program using $3 \times 3$ blocks for the positional parameters and $6 \times 6$ blocks for anisotropic thermal parameters. The weights were calculated from $w=1 /\left(2+\left|F_{o}\right|+0.03\left|F_{o}\right|^{2}\right)$ (Cruickshank, 1961). The form factors were those from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1962).

A difference synthesis revealed the hydrogen atoms, with peak heights between 0.3 and $0.5 \mathrm{e} . \AA^{-3}$. However, the hydrogen atoms bonded to $\mathrm{C}(2)$ overlap, and their electron density has a more or less banana-form. The final least-squares cycles were carried out with anisotropic thermal parameters for the carbon and oxygen atoms and isotropic thermal parameters for the hydro-
gen atoms. The final $R$ value was $6.0 \%$ for 1934 independent observed reflexions. The final parameters are given in the Tables 1 and 2. A Table of observed and calculated structure factors can be obtained from this laboratory.

Table 2. Parameters of the hydrogen atoms with
their e.s.d.'s (in brackets)
The $x, y, z$ values are given in fractions $\times 10^{3}$, and the $B$ values in $\AA^{2}$.

|  | $x$ | $\sigma(x)$ | $y$ | $\sigma(y)$ | $z$ | $\sigma(z)$ | $B$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H(1) | 751 | 7 | 920 | 9 | 003 | 3 | $9 \cdot 5$ |
| H(2) | 397 | 6 | 488 | 6 | 069 | 2 | 7.9 |
| H(3) | 842 | 10 | -056 | 10 | 044 | 4 | 12.0 |
| H(4) | 542 | 5 | 583 | 6 | 076 | 2 | $5 \cdot 5$ |
| H(5) | -236 | 8 | 536 | 9 | 201 | 3 | $13 \cdot 0$ |
| H(6) | -200 | 6 | 530 | 6 | 258 | 2 | 9.5 |
| H(7) | 926 | 5 | 580 | 6 | 007 | 2 | $7 \cdot 1$ |
| H(8) | 083 | 6 | 616 | 7 | 291 | 2 | 8.9 |
| H(9) | 122 | 6 | 115 | 7 | 199 | 2 | 8.5 |
| H(10) | 013 | 7 | 130 | 8 | 129 | 3 | 10.1 |
| H(11) | 168 | 5 | 190 | 6 | 144 | 2 | 7.7 |
| H(12) | -156 | 6 | 662 | 7 | 125 | 2 | 8.3 |
| H(13) | -036 | 5 | 794 | 6 | 140 | 2 | $7 \cdot 0$ |
| H(14) | -177 | 5 | 799 | 6 | 180 | 2 | 7.2 |
| H(15) | 116 | 5 | 769 | 6 | 247 | 2 | 7.0 |
| H(16) | -033 | 5 | 766 | 6 | 286 | 2 | 7.8 |
| H(17) | 530 | 4 | 150 | 5 | 094 | 2 | 4.1 |
| H(18) | -183 | 6 | 277 | 7 | 194 | 3 | $7 \cdot 6$ |
| H(19) | -094 | 6 | 272 | 6 | 255 | 2 | 7.2 |
| $\mathrm{H}(20)$ | 209 | 3 | 773 | 4 | 158 | 1 | 1.7 |
| H(21) | 613 | 3 | 818 | 4 | 068 | 1 | $2 \cdot 6$ |
| H(22) | 669 | 4 | 352 | 5 | 065 | 2 | $4 \cdot 2$ |
| H(23) | 245 | 4 | 431 | 5 | 137 | 2 | $3 \cdot 8$ |
| H(24) | 867 | 4 | 044 | 5 | -008 | 2 | $5 \cdot 3$ |
| H(25) | 363 | 3 | 945 | 4 | 125 | 2 | $2 \cdot 6$ |
| H(26) | 496 | 6 | 479 | 7 | 130 | 3 | $10 \cdot 2$ |


(a)

(b)

Fig.1. (a) Bond lengths and (b) bond angles. The values given for the ring lengths and angles should be regarded with caution, because of possible disorder in this part of the molecule (see Discussion). For the other angles and lengths the e.s.d.'s are: $0.005(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}): 0.08 \AA(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H})$ and $0.35^{\circ}$ (heavy atom angles).

## Discussion

## Disorder

The most striking features of the structure are the planarity of the cyclopentene ring (see Fig. 2), the small bond length $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)(1.49 \AA$, see Fig. 1) and the very high thermal parameters of some atoms (see Table 3), but we question the significance of these features.

The principal axes of largest root-mean-square amplitude (see Table 3) are all approximately in the direction of the normal to the plane of the molecule, which makes angles of 64.93 and $26^{\circ}$ with the standard orthogonal axes ( $y$ parallel to $\mathbf{b} ; z$ parallel to $\mathbf{c}^{*}$ ). Some thermal parameters of the ring atoms $\mathrm{C}(2), \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{C}(4)$ and the ring-methyl groups $\mathrm{C}(15), \mathrm{C}(16)$ and $\mathrm{C}(17)$ are abnormally large compared with those of the chain atoms. It is not very probable that this is a real effect because, if anything, one expects the cyclopentene ring
to have smaller thermal parameters than the chain, because the ring is more rigid.
A more plausible interpretation would be that the cyclopentene ring occurs in two different conformations as a result of disorder. In the related compounds canthaxanthin, 15-15'-dehydrocanthaxanthin (Bart \& MacGillavry, $1968 a, b$ ) and retro-vitamin-A acid (Schenk, 1969) conformational disorder was found. In these cases only one atom in a substituted cyclohexene ring is out of the plane of the ring. The distance of this atom from the ring plane is more than $0.5 \AA$ and therefore the disorder was found by the appearance of extra peaks in the electron density maps.
We investigated the disorder by means of models of 1,1,4-trimethylcyclopentene-5-carboxylic acid (Schenk, 1969) and its mirror image. In this molecule the pentene ring is in an envelope conformation with $C(2)$ only $0 \cdot 3 \AA$ out of the ring plane. If we make the atoms $\mathrm{C}(1)$, $C(5)$ and $C(6)$ of the mirror images approximately coin-

Table 3. Root-mean-square amplitudes of the vibration ellipsoids of the heavy atoms with the angles $a, b$ and $c$ relative to the standard orthogonal axes $x, y$ and $z$


Fig.2. The planarity of the molecule. The overall plane of the molecule was calculated with the method of Schomaker, Waser, Marsh \& Bergman (1959) through all heavy atoms of the molecule except $\mathrm{C}(16)$ and $\mathrm{C}(17)$. The expression is $0.4425 X-0.0485 Y$ $+0.8954 Z-3.490=0$ in which $X, Y$ and $Z$ are the orthogonal coordinates. In the Figure the deviations of many of the atoms from this plane are given together with the torsional angles around the bonds.
cide and the alternative $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ bonds cross (see Fig. 3), then the distances between corresponding atoms in the two models vary between 0 and $0.3 \AA$. For each atom this distance is approximately equal to twice the excess of its largest r.m.s. amplitude over that of the chain atoms.

Thus it appears to be quite satisfactory to ascribe the high r.m.s. amplitudes of the ring atoms and their methyl groups to positional disorder. From the fact that the average pentene ring is planar one can then infer that both conformations occur on a $50-50$ basis.

The disorder leads to the following conclusions:
(1) the planar conformation of the pentene ring (see Fig. 2) is not real;
(2) the bond lengths and angles involving the atoms $C(1), C(2), C(3), C(4), C(5), C(15), C(16)$ and $C(17)$ are unreliable;
(3) the 'thermal' parameters of these atoms cannot be used in a thermal analysis.

## Configurational and conformational aspects

The configuration of the conjugated system is alltrans, which has been found only in trans- $\beta$-ionylidenecrotonic acid (Eichhorn \& MacGillavry, 1959). Other related structures have approximately 5 -cis-conformations for the bonds connecting ring and chain (see compilation in Bart \& MacGillavry, 1968b).

The methyl groups $C(16)$ and $C(17)$ form parts of staggered conformations [see Fig. 4(c) and (d)]. The methyl groups $\mathrm{C}(15)$ and $\mathrm{C}(18)$ have a conformation in which one of the hydrogen atoms eclipses the double bond of the chain [Fig. 4(b) and 4(e)], as normally found for methyl groups connected to double-bond systems (Herschbach \& Krisher, 1958).
With exclusion of the COOH group the conjugated system is almost planar as shown in Fig. 2. The COOH group is rotated by approximately $13^{\circ}$ out of this plane
[Fig. 4(a)]. This is caused by the steric interaction between $H(24)$ and $O(2)$. This interaction affects also the conformation of methyl group $C(19)$, which is rotated by approximately $15^{\circ}$ out of the normal eclipsed conformation [Fig. 4(b)]. In spite of the two rotations the distance between $\mathrm{H}(24)$ and $\mathrm{O}(2)$ is only $2 \cdot 3 \AA$ whereas the accepted van der Waals distances for O and H are 1.5 and $1.2 \AA$ respectively.

## Bond lengths and angles

The bond lengths in the side chain are normal and there are no significant differences between similar bonds. The bonds $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ and $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ are approximately $1 \cdot 50 \AA$ as normally found for $s p^{2}-s p^{3}$ bonds.


Fig.3. Perspective view of a model of 1,1,4-trimethylcyclo-pentene-5-carboxylic acid and its mirror image, in which the atoms $C(1), C(5)$ and $C(6)$ coincide; see also the discussion of the disorder.

Table 4. Bond angles involving hydrogen atoms

| E.s.d.'s vary between 3 and $5^{\circ}$. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{H}(5)$ | $100^{\circ}$ | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{H}(21)$ | $118^{\circ}$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{H}(12)$ | $110^{\circ}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{H}(6)$ | 121 | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{H}(21)$ | 118 | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{H}(13)$ | 117 |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{H}(5)$ | 110 |  |  | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{H}(14)$ | 109 |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{H}(6)$ | 125 | $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{H}(17)$ | 119 | $\mathrm{H}(12)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{H}(13)$ | 104 |
| $\mathrm{H}(5)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{H}(6)$ | 82 | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{H}(17)$ | 114 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}(12)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{H}(14) \\ & \mathrm{H}(13)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{H}(14) \end{aligned}$ | 106 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 111 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{H}(18)$ | 112 | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{H}(22)$ | 121 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{H}(19)$ | 104 | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{H}(22)$ | 111 | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{H}(2)$ | 109 |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{H}(18)$ | 114 |  |  | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{H}(4)$ | 113 |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{H}(19)$ | 111 | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{H}(9)$ | 110 | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{H}(26)$ | 114 |
| $\mathrm{H}(18)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{H}(19)$ | 109 | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{H}(10)$ | 110 | $\mathrm{H}(2)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{H}(4)$ | 107 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{H}(11)$ | 118 | $\mathrm{H}(2)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{H}(26)$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{H}(23)$ | 115 | $\mathrm{H}(9)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{H}(10)$ | 120 | $\mathrm{H}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{H}(26)$ | 108 |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{H}(23)$ | 115 | $\mathrm{H}(9)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{H}(11)$ | 97 |  |  |
|  |  | $\mathrm{H}(10)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{H}(11)$ | 101 | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{H}(1)$ | 110 |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{H}(20)$ | 120 |  |  | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{H}(3)$ | 108 |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{H}(20)$ | 114 | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{H}(8)$ | 107 | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{H}(24)$ | 115 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{H}(15)$ | 112 | $\mathrm{H}(1)-\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{H}(3)$ | 97 |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{H}(25)$ | 115 | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{H}(16)$ | 114 | $\mathrm{H}(1)-\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{H}(24)$ | 112 |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{H}(25)$ | 118 | $\mathrm{H}(8)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{H}(15)$ | 109 | $\mathrm{H}(3)-\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{H}(24)$ | 113 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{H}(8)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{H}(16)$ | 100 |  |  |
|  |  | $\mathrm{H}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{H}(16)$ | 114 |  |  |

The chain is curved in its plane. The bond angles at the methyl side of the chain are larger than the angles at the opposite side (Table 4). This is caused by the steric interactions of $\mathrm{H}(23)$ with $\mathrm{H}(26)$ and $\mathrm{H}(2)$, of $\mathrm{H}(4)$ with $\mathrm{H}(21)$, and of $\mathrm{H}(21)$ with $\mathrm{H}(1)$ and $\mathrm{H}(3)$ (see Fig. 5).

The chain angles which are similar to the angles in other related structures are indicated in Fig. 5 by $a, b$, $c, d, e$ and $f$. The extent of the curving is given by the sum $\Delta=a-b+c-d+e-f$. For 5 -vitacid $\Delta$ is $19^{\circ}$ which is equal to $\Delta$ calculated from the mean values of a number of related compounds (Bart \& MacGillavry, 1968b).

The angles $C(1)-C(5)-C(6)$ and $C(5)-C(6)-C(7)$ are enlarged owing to the steric interaction of the hydrogen atoms $\mathrm{H}(13)$ and $\mathrm{H}(15)$ with $\mathrm{H}(20)$ (see Figs. 1 and 5). Comparable angles have been found in $\beta$-ionylidene crotonic acid (Eichhorn \& MacGillavry, 1959), retro-$\beta$-ionylidene acetic acid and retro-vitamin-A acid (Schenk, 1969). Because of the probable disorder, discussion of the distances and angles involving the ring atoms is not possible.

## Packing

The molecules form centric dimers through hydrogen bonds of $2 \cdot 64 \AA$. The angle $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{H}(7) \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ is $166^{\circ}$, a common value in hydrogen bonding.


along the C(15)-C(4) -band

along the $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(8)$-bond
along the $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(1)$-bond

slong the $\mathrm{C}(151-\mathrm{C}(1)$-bond

along the $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(12)$-bond
of the bonds.

The dimers have a relatively small number of intermolecular hydrogen-hydrogen contacts which are given in Table 5.

Table 5. Short intermolecular distances
The first three are distances between atoms from the two carboxylic groups, which form a dimer through hydrogen bonds.

|  |  |  |  |  | Distance |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Atom $A$ | Atom $B$ | Coordinates of atom $B$ |  | $A-B$ |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $\mathrm{H}(7)$ | $2-x$ | $1-y$ | $-z$ | $2.53 \AA$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $\mathrm{H}(7)$ | $2-x$ | $1-y$ | $-z$ | 1.53 |
| $\mathrm{H}(7)$ | $\mathrm{H}(7)$ | $2-x$ | $1-y$ | $-z$ | 1.99 |
| $\mathrm{H}(8)$ | $\mathrm{H}(25)$ | $\frac{1}{2}-x$ | $-\frac{1}{2}+y$ | $\frac{1}{2}-z$ | 2.37 |
| $\mathrm{H}(16)$ | $\mathrm{H}(26)$ | $\frac{1}{2}-x$ | $\frac{1}{2}+y$ | $\frac{1}{2}-z$ | 2.57 |
| $\mathrm{H}(22)$ | $\mathrm{H}(4)$ | $x$ | $-1+y$ | $z$ | 2.28 |
| $\mathrm{H}(22)$ | $\mathrm{H}(26)$ | $x$ | $-1+y$ | $z$ | 2.53 |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $\mathrm{H}(12)$ | $1+x$ | $1+y$ | $z$ | 2.56 |

## Comparison of 5-vitacid and vitamin-A acid

If we assume the envelope conformation for the pentene ring (see the discussion of the disorder) it is easy to see that the gem-methyl groups $\mathrm{C}(16)$ and $\mathrm{C}(17)$ have the same relative positions with respect to the ring as in vitamin-A acid (vitacid) (Stam \& MacGillavry, 1963) because in both cases $C(2)$ is about $0 \cdot 3 \AA$ out of the plane of the double bond. In 5 -vitacid the somewhat smaller endo angles at $C(1), C(4)$ and $C(5)$ result in only small positional shifts of $\mathrm{C}(15), \mathrm{C}(16)$ and $\mathrm{C}(17)$ relative to the corresponding atoms in vitacid. Therefore the steric effects between ring and chain are of the same order of magnitude in both compounds. Furthermore the chains of 5 -vitacid and vitamin-A acid are identical, so that the molecular geometries of vitacid and 5 -vitacid differ only slightly.
Various other analogues of vitamin A have been prepared such as des-methyl compounds, in which one or more methyl groups have been removed, ethyl-desmethyl compounds, in which a methyl group has been substituted by an ethyl group, and retro-compounds, in which the double bonds have been shifted (van de Tempel \& Huisman, 1966; Skolnik, 1969; Huisman, Smit, van Leeuwen \& van Rij, 1958). All these modifications involve small changes in the conformation and therefore in the geometry of the vitamin-A molecule, but the steric interaction of the substituents and the backbone is affected, which is not the case in the pen-


Fig. 5. Intra-molecular hydrogen-hydrogen distances.
tene analogue. This is reflected in the physiological activities of the various analogues; the pentene analogue has a growth activity which is $50-60 \%$ of that of vitamine A, but the other analogues do not show any appreciable activity (Huisman \& Baas, 1969; Skolnik, 1969).
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#### Abstract

Zinc diborate crystallizes in the orthorhombic system, space group Pbca, with eight formula units in a cell of dimensions $a=13 \cdot 714 \pm 0.005, b=8 \cdot 091 \pm 0.005$ and $c=8 \cdot 631 \pm 0.005 \AA$. The calculated density is $3.07 \mathrm{g.cm}^{-3}$, and all atoms are in general positions. The structure of $\mathrm{ZnB}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ has been solved by three-dimensional Fourier syntheses. The positional and isotropic thermal parameters were refined by the least-squares method based on 530 observed reflexions. The final conventional $R$ index is 0.067 . The structure consists of $\mathrm{BO}_{3}$ triangles and $\mathrm{BO}_{4}$ tetrahedra sharing a common vertex. Each zinc atom is surrounded by four close oxygen atoms, arranged in an irregular tetrahedron.


## Introduction

The binary system $\mathrm{ZnO}-\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ has been studied by several workers (Harrison \& Hummel, 1956; Bauer, 1963; Weir \& Schroeder, 1964). Fayos, Garcia-Blanco \& Rivoir (1966) investigated the system using calcined samples. Three compounds were reported: $\mathrm{Zn}_{3}\left(\mathrm{BO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ (Garcia-Blanco \& Fayos, 1968), $\mathrm{Zn}_{4} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{BO}_{2}\right)_{6}$ (Smith, Garcia-Blanco \& Rivoir, 1964), and a third compound having approximate composition $\mathrm{ZnO} .2 \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$. The purpose of the present paper is to report on the crystal structure of the last compound.

The structures of several compounds with a metal oxide to boron oxide ratio of 1 to 2 are known. The mineral borax, $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O} .2 \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} .10 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (Morimoto, 1956), contains a double ring polyion as an isolated unit. In anhydrous lithium diborate, $\mathrm{Li}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ (Krogh-Moe,
1968), and in cadmium diborate, $\mathrm{CdB}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ (Ihara \& Krogh-Moe, 1966), however, these double ring polyions are condensed into three-dimensional networks where half the boron atoms are fourfold coordinated. On the other hand, the structure of the isomorphous compounds $\mathrm{SrB}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ and $\mathrm{PbB}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ (Perloff \& Block, 1966), contains a completely different type of network, having all the boron atoms in fourfold coordination. The unusual feature is the occurrence of an oxygen atom common to three $\mathrm{BO}_{4}$ tetrahedra. In the barium compound $\mathrm{BaB}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ (Block \& Perloff, 1965), the borate network can be described as a three-dimensional linkage of both six-membered and double rings. The former contain two tetrahedral boron atoms and one triangular boron atom, and the latter contain two tetrahedral boron atoms and three triangular boron atoms. Since structural data about other diborates are

